Oplink Communications, Inc.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	D1 : (:00(-)	Case No. CV 07-04582 MJJ
Plaintiff(s),		ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL
v.		
O-Net Communication	ns (Shenzhen)	
Limited, et al.	Defendant(s).	
Pursuant to C that he or she has:	ivil L.R. 16-8(b) and A	DR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies
of California" on the copies are available	Court's ADR Internet s	oute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District site <a href="www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov">www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov</a> (Limited printed for parties in cases not subject to the court's or General Order 45);
(2) Discussed entities; and	the available dispute re	esolution options provided by the Court and private
(3) Considere resolution options.	d whether this case mig	tht benefit from any of the available dispute
Dated: 1/15/08		/s/ Austin Na (O-Net Party]
Dated: 1/18/08		/s/ Darryl M. Woo [Counsel]

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. CV 07-04582 MJJ
ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL
L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies
Resolution Procedures in the Northern District www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov (Limited printed arties in cases not subject to the court's neral Order 45);
tion options provided by the Court and private
enefit from any of the available dispute
/s/ Sylvain Griffin (Multiwave) [Party]
/s/ Darryl M. Woo  [Counsel]

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Oplink Communications, Inc.			
	Case No. CV 07-04582 MJJ		
Plaintiff(s),	ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL		
V.			
O-Net Communications (Shenzhen)			
Limited, et al.  Defendant(s).			
botondam(0).			
Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR I that he or she has:	L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies		
(1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute Roof California" on the Court's ADR Internet site we copies are available from the clerk's office for particle Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under General Court of the Court's and the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplied to the Court's ADR Internet Site was a supplie	rties in cases not subject to the court's		
(2) Discussed the available dispute resolutentities; and	tion options provided by the Court and private		
(3) Considered whether this case might be resolution options.	enefit from any of the available dispute		
Dated: 1/14/08	/s/ Chunmeng Wu		
	[Party]		
Dated: 1/18/08	/s/ Darryl M. Woo		
	[Counsel]		